

China Experiences World's Largest COVID Surge From Failed Pandemic Measures



If you want to see what a failed COVID-19 strategy looks like, look no further than the country U.S. elites attempted to emulate at the beginning of the pandemic – China. According to estimates from the government's top health authority, as many as 37 million people [contracted COVID-19](#) in China during a single day last week.

About 248 million people, or 18% of China's population, are estimated to have contracted the virus during the first 20 days of December, according to a report citing minutes from a Dec. 21 closed-door meeting of China's National Health Commission.

The estimates follow China's decision at the beginning of December to abruptly dismantle its strict zero-COVID policy and re-open its country.

If accurate, this means that China's current COVID outbreak is

the largest outbreak in the world. What's to blame for the outbreak? It's not rocket science. For three years, China has had a zero-COVID policy that left the Chinese without natural immunity against a highly infectious COVID-19 variant. On top of that, their COVID-19 vaccines manufactured by Sinovac are a joke. The Chinese people were harassed, barricaded in their homes, force-vaccinated, and ostracized by their government for nothing.

Of course, the mainstream media is pretending it didn't promote Chinese-like lockdowns—and that Dr. Anthony Fauci didn't either.

“Well, their approach has been very, very severe and rather draconian in the kinds of shutdowns without a seeming purpose,” Fauci recently said during an interview on NBC's [Meet the Press](#). Fauci went on to say that China's approach didn't make sense from a public health perspective.

Yet, just five minutes ago, Fauci [testified during a deposition](#) with GOP Attorneys General Eric Schmitt (Missouri) and Jeff Landry (Louisiana) – as part of a lawsuit against the Biden administration—that his deputy director at the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and right-hand man Dr. Clifford Lane, was “very impressed” with how China was managing the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 and said that the country “has demonstrated this infection can be controlled, albeit at great cost.”

According to the [transcript](#) of the deposition, Fauci said that Lane took note of China's extreme measures to combat COVID and said he “believes” he recommended that [Lane travel to China](#) with the World Health Organization (WHO) in February 2020 to [study](#) China's approach to handling COVID.

“The question now is whether the world can take lessons from China's apparent success—and whether the massive lockdowns and electronic surveillance measures imposed by an authoritarian

government would work in other countries," SCIENCE [reported](#) following the trip.

The results of the mission are described in this super riveting [report](#) published by the WHO in February 2020. (This is the same WHO Bill Gates [wants in charge](#) of global pandemic responses.)

But the [report is unequivocal](#). "China's bold approach to contain the rapid spread of this new respiratory pathogen has changed the course of a rapidly escalating and deadly epidemic," it says. "This decline in COVID-19 cases across China is real."

These U.S. health officials looked to China and argued massive lockdowns and electronic surveillance measures imposed by an authoritarian government should be imposed upon U.S. citizens despite the fact that what China was doing went against our Constitution and common sense.

Here's what the rest of us knew from the beginning:

We knew that shutting down the world to contain a virus that cannot be contained (like any other virus) was not feasible. We knew that at some point, to sustain life, the world would need to open up, and everyone (all at once) would become infected, overwhelming hospitals and draining resources—which would undermine the very reasons lockdowns were imposed in the first place.

We knew that locking down the country longer than it took to figure out some basic logistics about this virus was a dumb idea. We knew that natural immunity was superior to anything you could get from a vaccine – and we quickly learned the vaccine was nothing but a dressed-up lemon junk science couldn't even sell.

We knew that locking down an entire population would not only make people more vulnerable to COVID-19 but would interfere

with a child's developing immune system and negatively impact the population's immunity to commonly circulating viruses as a whole – hence, why we are now seeing an unprecedented number of [pediatric respiratory illnesses](#) in children.

We knew children and young people weren't at risk of severe COVID, and it was better for them to develop natural immunity than to subject themselves to the possibility of cardiac issues, sudden death, or blood clots from a clot shot.

We knew mRNA vaccines aren't vaccines at all but are gene-altering therapies that can wreak havoc on the body. We knew that lockdowns would have devastating effects on the economy and would leave people without life-saving care for conditions far worse than COVID. We saw mental health tank, suicides skyrocket, depression soar, people die from treatable diseases because they couldn't get medical care, and a generation of children become educationally stunted.

And of course, all we received in response to our observations was the glorified label of a "conspiracy theorist," which, as it turns out, was a concept entirely invented by our beloved CIA to manipulate people off the scent of what's true.

China is not an example of what we should do or should have done. It's a prime example of the opposite and is exactly where we would have ended up as a country if we didn't fight back against pharmaceutical companies, regulatory agencies, and their bought-out counterparts.

We should probably fire anyone who thought following China's COVID measures was a good idea . . . along with anyone who thought how we handled the pandemic with our lockdowns, travel restrictions, forced face diapers, and COVID vaccine mandates were good ideas too. There's no place in science for such stupidity.