

Congressional Subcommittee Questions U.S. Health Officials on COVID-19 Vaccines



The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) doesn't know how many people died from COVID-19 vaccines—but it's not the [37,100 reported](#) to the government's primary vaccine safety surveillance system.

When asked by Rep. Debbie Lesko (R-AZ) during a Feb. 15 hearing of the Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic why he hasn't publically disclosed the confirmed number of deaths caused by COVID-19 vaccines to counter the growing number of deaths reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), Dr. Peter Marks, director of the FDA's Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research said his agency hasn't done a great job publishing that information.

“If the goal is to give confidence to people in getting vaccines, then why in the world wouldn't you just say you

investigated these deaths and we've only found a handful? It doesn't make any sense to me," Rep. Lesko said.

The Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic met Thursday to discuss the failings of America's vaccine safety systems in the first session of a multi-part hearing titled "[Assessing America's Vaccine Safety Systems, Part 1.](#)"

According to a memo [issued by the Subcommittee](#), the purpose of the hearing was to examine the federal government's post-marketing surveillance of COVID-19 vaccine safety and the process for adjudicating claims for compensation. The hearing was approximately three hours long and gave representatives on both sides of the aisle an opportunity to ask questions on behalf of their constituents.

The split down party lines was apparent. Democrats pretended COVID-19 vaccines have saved millions of lives and are one of the best innovations since Little Debbie invented the Nutty Bar in 1959.

Vaccine-injured people? These people do not exist and if they do, they were merely inevitable casualties on the road to greatness. What's a handful of deaths when you're saving millions of people with a COVID-19 vaccine?

The claim that COVID-19 vaccines have saved anyone is entirely unsupported by data. The idea that COVID-19 vaccines have saved anyone is pure propaganda invented by pharmaceutical companies and parroted by the media and U.S. health officials when it became obvious the shots did not work.

To prove a COVID-19 vaccine has saved countless lives, you'd have to assume that everyone would have gotten COVID-19 and that everyone who got COVID-19 would have died but for the shot. That's impossible to prove, and we know the fatality of COVID-19 is extremely low and that the vaccine does not prevent disease—another fact admitted during the hearing by the FDA.

The Democrats were mad that a hearing was being held in the first place. After all, becoming informed might make people “vaccine-hesitant.”

On the other side were Republicans—some of whom went out of their way to cushion any negatives with the insurmountable positives these clot shots have bestowed upon the American people—and some of whom stepped up to the plate to ask the tough questions that have thus far, gone unanswered.

Republicans Rep. James Comer of Kentucky, Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene of Georgia, Rep. Debbie Lesko of Arizona, Rep. Michael Cloud of Texas, Dr. Rich McCormick of Georgia, and Rep. Nicole Malliotakis of New York maximized their five minutes of speaking time.

Witnesses at the hearing included Dr. Daniel Jernigan, director of the National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases at the CDC. It was evident that Dr. Jernigan does not believe vaccine-injured people exist.

Any shortcomings of the CDC were blamed on not having enough staff. Perhaps if they spent a little less time trying to do damage control for the pharmaceutical companies or conducting flawed studies to support face diapers and heart darts, they’d have more resources to go around.

Dr. Marks was another witness. He has ignored inquiries by numerous congressional leaders. Behind closed doors, he knows the vaccine-injured exists, but not only did nothing about it, he expedited COVID-19 vaccine approval so the shots could be mandated.

Marks, during the hearing, also pretended U.S. health agencies no longer see a myocarditis signal with COVID-19 vaccines and that adding an initial warning to the label of these shots was enough when, in actuality, they should have been pulled from the market the first time a kid dropped dead on a field.

Finally, there was Commander Dr. George Reed Grimes, director of Injury Compensation Programs for the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). He oversees the two laughable vaccine compensation schemes that protect pharmaceutical companies from having to pay for the damage caused by their products.

The U.S. government has two systems for adjudicating and compensating vaccine-related injuries—the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program (CICP) and the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP). Neither is great at awarding vaccine injuries, but nothing screams fairness like becoming paralyzed from a mandated vaccine and being awarded 50 cents for your injuries. In any other industry, you can sue for defective products. This is not the case with vaccines.

Takeaways From the Hearing:

- Chairman of the committee, Dr. Brad Wenstrep (R-OH), got the FDA and CDC to admit that no vaccine is 100% safe.
- Rep. Cloud got the FDA and CDC's [public health experts to eventually admit](#) through hot flashes and tears that COVID-19 vaccines do not prevent the disease or its transmission. Yet, the FDA still says COVID-19 is a vaccine-preventable disease on its website.
- As of January 2024, the CICP has only compensated 11 claims out of the more than 12,000 filed for COVID-19 vaccine-related injuries. With the number of employees they have, it will take ten years to adjudicate more than 10,000 backlogged claims, Rep. McCormick revealed. Rep. McCormick also pointed out the ridiculousness of the federal government funding the shots (with our tax dollars), mandating the shots, and then being in the position to determine whether they caused harm and whether the injured should receive compensation.
- Rep. Malliotakis asked the FDA if they were undertaking

any long-term active surveillance of individuals who received the initial COVID-19 vaccines because some adverse events may take years to develop. The FDA punted the question to the CDC, and it was obvious nobody is doing any such thing.

- At one point during the hearing, Dr. Marks was asked whether COVID-19 vaccines have resulted in an increase in cancers and whether “turbo cancers” are real.

“I’m a hematologist oncologist that’s board certified. I don’t know what a turbo cancer is. It was a term that was used first in a paper in mouse experiments describing an inflammatory response,” Dr. Marks said. “We have not detected any increase in cancers with the COVID-19 vaccines.”

Dr. Marks didn’t technically lie because he doesn’t actually see patients, so he hasn’t seen any of the lucky candidates who had the privilege of getting an aggressive turbo cancer to prevent the equivalent of a common cold.

- Rep. Cloud asked Dr. Marks if anyone instructed him to speed up the COVID-19 vaccine approval timeline. Dr. Marks said he decided to speed up the approval of these death darts himself. Rep. Cloud then asked why he removed two senior officials who disagreed with doing so, and Marks essentially said he needed to move these clot shots through as rapidly as possible because people were dying and these top-tier scientists were getting in his way.

“Do you recall any conversation regarding approval of vaccines in order to approve mandates,” Rep. Comer asked Dr. Marks. “Did you ever have any conversations regarding vaccine approval with the Department of Defense?”

Marks said he didn’t recall any conversations directly, which means they most certainly happened. What he did

admit is that there were conversations that the shots would be easier to mandate if they were approved.

The COVID-19 vaccine was fully approved on August 23, 2021, and the military mandate was issued on August 24, 2021. How ironic.

The MVP of the event goes to Rep. Margarie Taylor Greene (MTG). You know you're on the right track when the people who speak after you use their entire five minutes of speaking time to say the Republican who spoke before them is a big meanie.

MTG admitted she does not have a medical degree, but she does have a PhD in identifying bullsh*t. She packed an entire three years into her five minutes of talk time by beginning with Dr. Marks' need to rush vaccines through the authorization and approval process.

She then addressed the valiant but failed attempts of Democrats to belittle reports filed in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. There are more than 18,000 reports of U.S. deaths following COVID-19 vaccines and 37,000 total reported deaths. The numbers went up after COVID-19 vaccine authorization, soared when there were mandates, and declined when the mandates disappeared.

Greene also noted there are 10,640 COVID-19 vaccine injury claims waiting for review; only 11 of several thousand have been compensated, and the average payout was \$3,700 compared with the \$490,000 people receive when they are compensated by the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.

Greene then mentioned how Brianne Dressen, who was in attendance at the hearing, met with Dr. Peter Marks about the injured, how he acknowledged vaccine injuries, and then pretended as if the entire encounter didn't exist.

Dressen was in AstraZeneca's clinical trial, was injured, and

dropped from the trial. Her medical expenses are more than \$433,000 a year. She filed with the CACP two years ago and never heard a response. The National Institutes of Health also saw Dressen, studied her, and then dropped from the study and asked her to be quiet about it.

“It’s time to be honest about the vaccine injured, and we need to stop allowing these COVID vaccines to be given out to children,” Greene said.