


# Lancet Removes Alarming Study Showing 74% of Reviewed Autopsies Were Caused by COVID-19 Vaccines



The Lancet on July 6 removed alarming data showing COVID-19 vaccines were a cause of death or contributing factor in 74% of reviewed autopsies.

[The Daily Skeptic](#) obtained a copy of the [pre-print study](#) from the depths of the internet archive before it could be scrubbed entirely from the internet.

This systemic review in the Lancet investigated the causal links between COVID-19 vaccination and death using autopsies and post-mortem analysis.

Physicians and scientists searched all published autopsy and necropsy reports related to COVID-19 vaccination through May 18, 2023, resulting in 678 studies. After implementing certain criteria, they chose 44 papers containing 325 autopsy cases

and one necropsy case.

Three physicians (with actual medical degrees and expertise) independently reviewed all deaths to determine whether COVID-19 vaccination was the direct cause or a significant factor in causing the death.

Of 325 autopsies reviewed, 240 deaths (73.9%) were independently adjudicated as “directly due to or significantly contributed to by COVID-19 vaccination.”

Findings showed the most affected organ system in COVID-19 vaccine-associated death was the cardiovascular system (53%), followed by the hematological system (17%), the respiratory system (8%), and multiple organ systems (7%). Three or more organ systems were affected in 21 cases.

The mean time from vaccination to death was 14.3 days—with most deaths occurring within a week of the last vaccine dose.

The authors concluded:

*“The consistency seen among cases in this review with known COVID-19 vaccine adverse events, their mechanisms, and related excess death, coupled with autopsy confirmation and physician-led death adjudication, suggests there is a high likelihood of a causal link between COVID-19 vaccines and death in most cases. Further urgent investigation is required for the purpose of clarifying our findings.”*

The study was removed by the Lancet within 24 hours and replaced with this notice:

*“This preprint has been removed by Preprints with the Lancet because the study’s conclusions are not supported by the study methodology.”*

No further explanation was given.

One can only assume the paper was removed because prestigious author Dr. Harvey Rische, professor emeritus and senior research scientist in epidemiology at Yale (who also happens to know a few things about biostatistics and public health), has no clue how to interpret data.

His co-authors, including Dr. Peter McCullough and Dr. Paul Alexander, are equally inept.

Unlike every other study used to support the tell-tale narrative that COVID-19 vaccines are perfectly safe, the authors of this paper received no funding for the time, effort, and work it took to do this analysis.

The Lancet pre-print study ultimately tells us two things:

First, question the science. To have an accurate picture of the latest science, you need to be able to review all of the data, not just what “medical journals” and pseudo-experts publish.

Second, we should assume that what we’ve been told about COVID-19 and vaccines by the agencies designed to regulate these products is a lie.

If this seems far-fetched, catch up on the [Missouri v. Biden](#) case, where a judge recently accused U.S. government agencies under the guise of the Biden administration of engaging in Orwellian censorship efforts to manipulate Americans with their chosen narratives on various issues, including COVID-19 and vaccines—and to stop this “almost dystopian scenario” of “us[ing] its power to silence the opposition.”

One would be naive to think their reach is limited to social media companies.

Here’s an [internet archive](#) of the study.

Here’s a [pdf copy](#) of the study.

You have the right to see all research and form your own conclusions, especially when it comes to forced medical experimentation that carries a risk of death.